

# CANVASS REPORT

**Municipal General Election** 

**November 4, 2025** 

**Prepared For: Farmington City** 

Davis County Clerk

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BY THE NUMBERS	1
PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT REPORT	2
REGISTER OF REPORTS	3
CANVASS AUDIT CERTIFICATION	4

# **Farmington City**

# Municipal General Election November 4, 2025

#### 14,677 Active Registered Voters

5,188 Ballots Cast

35.35% Turnout

#### **Ballots Cast By Type**



5,089

By Mail - Voters cast their vote utilizing a by mail ballot sent to the voter



2

In Person Early – Voters cast their vote utilizing a ballot issued at an early voting location prior to election day



94

In Person Election Day – Voters cast their vote utilizing a ballot issued at a voting center on election day



3

Provisional – Voters cast their vote utilizing a provisional ballot issued at an early voting location or voting center

# PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT

#### What, Where, and When

As required by Utah Code 20A-4-104 Before beginning to count ballots using automatic tabulating equipment, the election officer shall test the automatic tabulating equipment to ensure that it will accurately count the votes cast for all offices and all measures. Additionally a firmware validation must be completed, this verifies the firmware versions used on tabulating equipment matches the official certified firmware versions approved for use in the State of Utah.

A test deck is created which contains ballots from each precinct and each contest involved in the election. Votes are marked for each candidate or question. Under votes (blank ballots) and over voter are also included in the test deck. The test deck is processed through each type of tabulation equipment which will be utilized in the election. The results tabulated from the automatic tabulating equipment is then compared to the pre-determined results.

October 10, 2025

# **Testing Team**


This test is conducted by personnel of the Davis County Clerks Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on October 10, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

#### Results

All contests were included in the test, all equipment accurately detected over votes and under votes. The results of the test accurately matched the expected results. The firmware in use was confirmed as the approved version on all tabulating equipment. Following this page you will find the Pre Election Test and Audit Report.

# **REGISTER OF REPORTS**







2. Summary of Ballots Not Counted. This report documents the total number of ballot which not were received, but not counted. It identifies the ballot by type and the reason why the ballot was not counted. If applicable, voters were contacted and given an opportunity to resolve issues with their ballot prior to the canvass



3. Ballot Reconciliation. This report documents each ballot reconciliation during the election process. Election Officers are required to reconcile ballots each time ballots are tabulated.



4. Canvass Statistics – This report provides standard statistics for the election as required by the State of Utah.



5. Summary Results Report – One of three election results reports. This report provides a summary of the election results and is considered the official results of the election.



6. Statement of Votes Cast – Second of three election results reports. This report provides a detailed report of ballots cast by type for each voting precinct as well as a breakdown of votes for each candidate or issue by precinct. This report is usually formatted in a digital spreadsheet and is available in a separate electronic file.



7. Cast Vote Record Report – Third of three election results reports. This report provides a detailed report of each ballot cast in the election and an indication of each vote within each race or ballot question. Service District Races are not included in this report. This report is usually formatted in a digital spreadsheet and is available in a separate electronic file.

# **POST ELECTION AUDIT**

#### What, Where, and When

A Post election audit consists of four requirements. First is a comparison of the Cast Vote Record to the Election Summary Report. The second is an audit of the tabulating equipment as required by 20A-4-104(1)(f). This audit ensures the automatic tabulation equipment accurately counted the ballots by hand counting select batches of ballots and comparing the hand counted with the results recorded in the tabulation system. It consists of 1 percent of all ballots up to 1,000 ballots. The ballots are selected randomly

by the Lt. Governors Office.

The third is an audit of the signature comparisons made between signatures on envelopes and voter signatures maintained by the election officer as required by 20A-3a-402.5. This audit is performed on 1% of all ballot envelopes prior to envelopes being opened and separated from the ballot.

November 14, 2025

The fourth is a final reconciliation of ballots cast to vote history recorded in the voter registration system as required by 20A-4-304 (2)(h). This is documented on the Ballot Reconciliation Report.

Procedures for these audits are set by administrative rules and policy set by the Lt. Governor.

#### Audit Team

Auditors consisted of Davis County Citizens, and Officials from outside of the Davis County Clerk's Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on November 14, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

#### Results

The results of the audit accurately matched the tabulated results. No discrepancies were found in the signature comparisons. Following this page you will find the Canvass Audit Certification Report and the Post Election Auditor Certification Report.



# Davis County Post-Election Auditors Certification Report

Election: November 4, 2025 Municipal General Election

I certify that proper legal public notice was given for the Post-Election Audit. This audit was conducted at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025 on the 14 day of November, 2025; all laws, policies and procedures were followed as currently constituted; and that the following information is true and correct.
Signature of County Clerk: Duffey Date: 11-14-25
We the undersigned election auditors certify that we were present during and participated in this post-election audit. We certify that we reviewed the original paper ballots, and tabulation of all ballots identified for audit, we confirmed that the electronic count matched the original marks made by the voter, and that all electronic tabulations accurately reflected the intent of the voter as marked on each ballot and that if any differences were identified, they have been listed on the Audit Summary Report.
As an appointed election auditor, I certify that I attended the Post-Election Audit and verified the information listed above to be accurate.
Signature of Election Auditor <u>Suburea Johnson</u>
Signature of Election Auditor Nauman e Lainte
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor Win Balifynau
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor Altho Hollowk
Signature of Election Auditor Vulyur Koster
Signature of Election Auditor Weedy Page
Signature of Election Auditor Mancy R. Wan
Signature of Election Auditor Jennifer Chaffer
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor



# Canvass - Audit Certification Report

1. 2. 3.	Number of paper ballots processed: <u>68, 572</u> Number of ballots audited: <u>795</u> List the contests on the ballot that were audited:  All Contests
	Were there any differences between the tabulation software and the votes marked on the paper ballots?
	YesX_ No (*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)
RF	Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)
l.	Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)  Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:
l. 2.	
l. 2. 3.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:
1. 2. 3. 4.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  Yes  No  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
1. 2. 3. 4.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  Yes  No  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
l. 2. 3. 4.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
1. 2. 3. 4.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?YesNo
<ol> <li>1.</li> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?YesNo  (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)  Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Number of voting machines used in the election:
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Number of voting machines used in the election:  Number of polling places used for the election:  Number of voting machines audited:  Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)  Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?YesNo  (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)  Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited

#### Clerk Certification – to be completed before the canvass

Number of ballots counted: 68,572  Number of vote histories: 68,572  Difference:	Number of vote histories: 68,572  Difference: Explain any differences:  X Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using an voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voting equipment.		YesNo I certify that I have reviewed the number of vote histories recorded in VISTA and they ly match the number of ballots cast in my county during this election.
Number of vote histories: 68,572  Difference:	Number of vote histories:		Number of ballots counted: 68,572
Explain any differences:  X Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  X Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using an voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voting equipment."	Explain any differences:  X Yes No _I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  X Yes No _I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using an voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any votequipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."		·
Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using ar voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any vo	Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using ar voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voequipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."		Difference:
laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using ar voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any vo	X Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using ar voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any vo equipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."		Explain any differences:
		votin	g equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any vo





#### **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTION**

We, the Board of Canvassers for Farmington City, Davis County, State of Utah, do hereby certify that Brett Nathan Anderson was elected to the office of Mayor and Melissa Allphin Layton and Kristen D Sherlock were elected to the office of City Council in the municipal general election held for Farmington City on November 4, 2025, as appears on the official canvassed returns of said election as filed, and of record in the office of the City Recorder of Farmington City located at 160 S Main, Farmington, UT, 84025. Telephone 801-939-9206. A copy can also be located on Farmington City's website <a href="https://www.farmington.utah.gov">www.farmington.utah.gov</a> and the Utah Public Notice website www.utah.gov/pmn

**BOARD OF CANVASSERS:** 

# Brett Anderson Scott Isaacson Amy Shumway Alex Leeman Melissa Layton Roger Child IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused to be affixed my official seal this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November 2025 DeAnn Carlile City Recorder/Farmington City Election Official

Municipal General Election November 4, 2025 Summary of By-Mail and Provisional Ballots Not Counted	Total ballots not counted	Already Voted	Fleeing Voter	No Proof of Identiy	No Proof of Residency	Deceased	Power of Attorney	Incarserated	Moved from County	Signed by someone other than the voter	No Signature	Signature did not match	Empty Evnelope	Not Timely
Farmington By Mail	54	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	47
Farmington Provisional	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	56	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	47

	Ballot Reconciliation [1]												
	Time and Date (ctrl+alt+shift+semicolon)	10/21/202	10/23/202	10/24/202	10/27/202	10/29/202	11/5/2025	11/5/2025		11/14/202	11/17/202	Total	
	Active Registered Voters as of Registration Deadline [2]	192438										192438	
Total Voters and	Ballots Provided to Voter (By Mail and Electronic) [3]	194067	194266	194368	194485	194562	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	
Ballots Issued	Ballots Returned Undeliverable [4]	2100	2300	2434	2661	2713	2864	2873	0	2960	2973	2973	
	Ballots Provided to Voter (Polling Locations) [5]	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	
	Mail/Absentee in Mail	9226	13659	16085	19177	23956	31046	31463	31468	31623	31632	31632	
	Mail/Absentee in Drop Box	2249	2249	2249	2249	6381	35628	35645	35646	35646	35646	35646	
	Total Mail Ballots	11475	15908	18334	21426	30337	66674	67108	67114	67269	67278	67278	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balanced
Ballots	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
Received From	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
Voters [6]	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	99	99	99	99	Balanced
	Total Ballots Received From Voter for Processing	11475	15908	18334	21426	30347	68678	69117	69123	69278	69287	69287	
	Mail Ballots	2063	0	0	0	0	9608	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
Ballots In	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	Balanced
Process	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	4	4	4	4	Balanced
	Total Ballots in Process	2063	0	0	0	10	9708	100	4	4	4	4	Dalariood
	Mail Ballots	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	56879	66512	66516	66556	66567	66567	Balanced
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balanced
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
Ballots	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	Balanced
Processed [7]	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balanced
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	95	95	95	Balanced
	Total Ballots Counted or Processed	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	58783	68421	68521	68561	68572	68572	Balarioca
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (By Mail) [8]	11423	15849	18275	21361	30229	60843	66548	66553	66593	66604	66604	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Early) [9]	0	0	0	0	9	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Early) [9]	0	0	0	0	0	1857	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balanced
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Normal) [10]	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	96	96	96	96	Balanced
Reconciliation	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Provisional) [11]	11423	15849	18275	21361	30238	62717	68506	68521	68561	68572	68572	Balanced
Reconcination		2063	0	0	0	9	3930	86	00521	0	0	0	Dalanceu
	Ballots with Vote History in VISTA but not Processed [12]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	-	Balanced	4	1	Balanced	Balanced	-	Balanced	-
	Reconciliation of Ballots Counted to Vote History [13]					Balanced	Balanced	Palanas	Balanced				-
	Reconciliation of Ballots Recieved/Counted/Rejected [14]  Notes	Balanced	Dalanced	Balanced									
		50	50	50	C4	407	100	454	450	100	400	400	
	By Mail Ballots Rejected but Curable	52	59	59	64	107	169	154	150	120	106	106	
	By Mail Ballots Rejected and Not Curable	0	0	0	1	0	18	442	448	593	605	605	
			-		-	-	-						
	By Mail Ballots Resolved [15] By Mail Ballots Initially Rejected	0 52	0 59	0 59	0 65	0 108	54 241	62 658	65 663	90	99 810	99 810	

#### **Standardized Canvass Statistics Report**

#### Davis County Municipal General Election November 4, 2025

Saction	1 • Acti	VO VOto	ers and I	TURBOUT
			215 (1110)	

35.6%	Voter turnout
35.6%	Proportion of active voters and same-day registrants who cast counted ballots
192,438	Active voters (use only the number given to counties the Friday before the election)

#### **Section 2: Counted Ballot Statistics**

68,572	Total ballots counted
66,567	Mail ballots counted
17	In-person early voting period ballots counted
1,855	In-person election day ballots counted
3	Disability ballots counted returned electronically
34	UOCAVA ballots counted returned electronically
96	Provisional ballots counted
0	Emergency ballots counted

#### **Section 3: Vote History Reconciliation**

68,572	vote histories given
Yes	Does total ballots counted match the number of voters given vote history in VISTA?
NA	If no, what is the difference? (surplus vote histories)
	If no provide an explanation below

#### **Section 4: Provisional Ballot Statistics**

100	Provisional ballots issued
96	Provisional ballots counted
4	Total provisional ballots not counted
1	Already voted
0	Insufficient ID
3	Residency
0	Incomplete form
0	Other
96.0%	Percentage of provisionals that were counted
52	Number of provisional ballots that were same-day registration

#### Section 5: By Mail Ballot Statistics

205	lotal challenged curable ballots (number of voters sent a cure notice)
106	Total rejected ballots that were curable but not cured
55	Envelopes with a signature that does not match the voter's signature
20	Unsigned envelopes
31	Ballots challenged for other curable reasons
51.7%	Percentage of curable ballots not cured
8	Number of ballots where the voter submitted a disability affidavit
605	Total rejected non-provisional ballots that could not legally be cured
582	Returned after the received-by deadline
23	Rejected for other non-curable reasons
1.5%	Percentage of ballots returned as undeliverable

#### **Section 6: County Clerk Certification**

I certify that the information contained in this report is true and accurate. (type your name in the field below)

Brian McKenzie, Davis County Clerk

**Davis County** 

#### STATISTICS

	TOTAL
Registered Voters - Total	14,677
Ballots Cast - Total	5,188
Voter Turnout - Total	35.35%

**Davis County** 

#### Municipal General Election G2025

#### Farmington City Mayor

Vote For 1

	TOTAL
BRETT NATHAN ANDERSON	4,381
JORGE QUINONES	722

#### Farmington City Council

Vote For 2

	TOTAL
MELISSA ALLPHIN LAYTON	4,123
KRISTEN D SHERLOCK	3,574
LAVERNE SCHRAEDEL	1,158